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Figure 30.1 Map of Romania
罗马尼亚是东南欧最大的国家，北部与乌克兰接壤，东部与摩尔多瓦接壤，南部与保加利亚接壤，西部和西南部分别与匈牙利和塞尔维亚接壤。该国拥有出海的黑海入口，位于多瑙河的下游。地形多样，包括喀尔巴阡山脉、丘陵、高原、平原和草地。罗马尼亚面积238,390平方公里，行政领土分为41个县加上布加勒斯特市，319个城镇和2,686个社区。它的人口为2140万，57%的人口生活在城市中心。

### Table 30.1 罗马尼亚概况

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>参数</th>
<th>详情</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>欧盟加入年份</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>海牙公约加入年份</td>
<td>非成员国</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>欧洲议会议员（2009）</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>海牙公约签署成员国</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>首都</td>
<td>布加勒斯特</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>地域面积*</td>
<td>238,391平方公里</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>人口</td>
<td>19,947,311</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 种族构成                | 89.5%罗马人，6.6%匈牙利人，2.5%罗马尼亚人，0.3%德国人，0.3%乌克兰人，0.2%土耳其人，0.2%其他
| 人口密度**               | 86.9人/平方公里                                                      |
| 年龄中位数               | 40.8                                                                 |
| 政治体制                | 半总统制共和国                                                        |
| 国家元首                 | Traian Băsescu                                                         |
| 政府首脑                 | Emil Boc, Democratic Liberal Party (PD-L) (December 2008–February 2012); Mihai-Răzvan Ungureanu (independent) (February–May 2012); Victor Ponta, Social Democratic Party (PSD) (May 2012–)
| 政治多数                | Democratic Liberal Party (PD-L) and Democratic Union of Hungarians in Romania (UDMR) Government Coalition (December 2008–May 2012); Social Democratic Party (PSD), National Liberal Party (PNL), Conservative Party (PC), National Union for the Progress of Romania (UNPR) Government Coalition (May 2012–)
| 货币                     | 罗马尼亚列伊 (RON)                                                     |
| 国内生产总值 (PPS)        | 7,500 欧元                                                            |


**Notes**:
* 地域面积包括淡水水域
** 人口密度：区域年平均人口与区域面积的比率

### 1 Geographical position

罗马尼亚是东南欧最大的国家，北部与乌克兰接壤，摩尔多瓦在东，保加利亚在南，匈牙利和塞尔维亚在西和西南，分别。该国拥有出海的黑海入口和位于多瑙河的下游。地形多样，包括喀尔巴阡山脉、丘陵、高原、平原和草地。罗马尼亚面积238,390平方公里，其行政领土分为41个县加上布加勒斯特市，319个城镇，和2,686个社区。它有2140万人口，其中57%的人口住在城市中心。
2 Historical background

The Kingdom of Romania, founded in 1859 under Alexandru Ioan Cuza and later incorporated into the Ottoman Empire, gained its independence in 1877. During World War I, Romania joined the allied side. This resulted in regaining Transylvania, Bessarabia, Bukovina, and Dobruja, which, by 1 December 1918, all (re)united with the Old Kingdom of Romania and formed the Romanian nation-state (Constantiniu, 2008). The inter-war period was marked by authoritarian King Carol II, who through his Constitution of 1938, banned political parties. During WWII, his son Michael, with the backing of the opposition parties, put an end to Ion Antonescu’s fascist government and switched sides in the war.

Following the 1944 armistice, Romania became a Socialist republic and parts of its eastern territory, including Bessarabia, now the Republic of Moldova, were occupied by the Soviet Union. King Michael was forced to abdicate, and in 1947 the Communists came to power, proclaiming Romania a ‘People’s Republic’. After the fall of Communism with the 1989 revolution, Romania began its transition to democracy.

3 Geopolitical profile

During the era of Communism, President Nicolae Ceaușescu tried to pursue a political strategy independent from Moscow and Romania was the only Warsaw Pact country that denounced the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia in 1968 (Swain and Swain, 1993). He maintained diplomatic relations with Israel, West Germany, and several Arab countries. In the early 1970s, the country became member of the International Monetary Fund and the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs. In 1975 Romania was granted most favoured nation status by the United States. Ceaușescu’s autocratic policies, as well as his ambition to pay all the country’s foreign debts, generated poverty and discontent, which culminated in the 1989 revolution and led to his execution. The immediate post-Communist years were focussed on democratic and economic reforms. The country joined NATO in 2004 and the European Union in 2007.

4 Overview of the political landscape

The 1991 Romanian Constitution, later amended in 2003, established a semi-presidential system of government based on the French model. The President, as head of state, and the Prime Minister, as head of government, share executive functions, although it is not clear who prevails and in practice, it resembles more a parliamentary regime with an elected president.

The President is elected by popular vote for a five-year term. The Prime Minister is appointed by the President and approved by the Parliament. The Parliament is bicameral and consists of the Senate and the Chamber of Deputies, with 137 and 334 members, respectively, which enjoy equal powers. The Constitution was amended in 2003 with the aim of complying with European regulations. The two chambers of the Romanian Parliament maintained equal powers, minority rights were strengthened, the financing of political parties became more transparent and the presidential mandate was extended from four to five years. On the basis of the latest referendum held at the time of the presidential elections in 2009, the Constitution will be further amended in order to reduce the number of MPs and the number of parliamentary chambers. If approved by two-thirds of MPs, this proposal will lead to a unicameral Parliament as opposed to the current bicameral format. Such a discussion has not taken place yet.
5 Brief account of the political parties

Post-Communist Romanian politics have been characterized by very high instability, party fragmentation, government resignation before the end of the mandate, and high electoral volatility (Stânciulescu, 2008). Furthermore, parties’ changing electoral fortunes have been associated with low party identification amongst the electorate (Wyman et al. 1995; Miller et al., 1998; Rose and Mishler, 1998; Lewis, 2000).

Romania started out with a very high party replacement score of 41.7 per cent for the first two elections, which dropped to 14.7 per cent for the second and third elections, and then increased again to 32.9 per cent for the third and fourth elections (Birch, 2003, 126). This trend has, however, declined over time. After the 2008 parliamentary elections, only five parties entered Parliament. The number of parties that entered the electoral contest also decreased when compared to 2004. The decrease in political fragmentation is partly due to the fact that the electoral threshold has been raised from 3 per cent in 1990 to 5 per cent in 2000, and also partly due to the fact that parties have slowly crystallized their programmes. The number of party splits has diminished and they were compensated by a similar number of party mergers (Borz, 2009a).

The governing party in 2009, the Democratic Liberal Party (PD-L) has moved its policies towards the centre and more towards conservatism. As an early 2001 party convention stated, they favour ‘a market economy but not a market society’. The current statute defines PD-L as a party of the centre-right, and a member of the European People’s Party group committed to the creation of a modern society and a social market economy. Following a process of programmatic clarification, PD, before becoming PD-L at the end of 2007, changed its European Parliament membership from the European Socialists to the European People’s Party.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original name</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>English translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontul Salvării Naționale</td>
<td>FSN</td>
<td>National Salvation Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Social Democrat Român</td>
<td>PSDR</td>
<td>The Romanian Social Democrat Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aliantă pentru Unitatea Românilor</td>
<td>A.U.R</td>
<td>Romanian Unity Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Național Tăranesc Creștin Democrat</td>
<td>PNTCD</td>
<td>The Christian Democrat Peasants’ Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Ecologist Român</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>The Romanian Ecologist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mișcarea Ecologică din România</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>The Ecological Movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Democrat Agrar</td>
<td>PDAR</td>
<td>The Agrarian Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Social Democrat din România</td>
<td>PSDR</td>
<td>The Romanian Social Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniunea Democrată a Maghițarilor din România</td>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>Hungarian Democratic Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Național Liberal</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>The National Liberal Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventia Democrată din România</td>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Democratic Convention of Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontul Democrat al Salvării Naționale</td>
<td>FDSN</td>
<td>Democratic National Salvation Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Unității Naționale Române</td>
<td>PUNR</td>
<td>The Romanian National Unity Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul România Mare</td>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>Greater Romania Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Socialist al Muncii</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>Socialist Labour Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Republican</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Republican Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Democrat Liberal</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>Democratic Liberal Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Democrației Sociale din România</td>
<td>PDSR</td>
<td>Social Democracy Party of Romania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniunea Social Democrată</td>
<td>PD+PSDR</td>
<td>Social Democratic Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Socialist</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>The Socialist Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Socialist al Muncii</td>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>Romanian Socialist Workers’ Party</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PD-L’s close challenger is the Social Democratic Party (PSD), which entered the governing coalition in 2012. Initially, it was given guest status as the Socialist International; it received associate membership in the Party of European Socialists in 1999, which was followed by full membership thereafter. The PSD defines itself as a leftist modern and progressive party that endorses social democratic values and European policies. The Conservative Party, which formed an electoral alliance with PSD in the 2009 EP elections, is a relatively small party, committed to Conservative values. It started in 1991 with the name Humanist Party of Romania, changed its name to the Conservative Party in 2005, and has no connection to the historic Conservative Party that existed before WWI. The party is committed to European integration and to national values. It was part of the governing coalition with the Social Democrats after 2000, part of the coalition formed by DA (PD and PNL) after 2004, and part of a second alliance with PSD in 2008. This shift was also reflected in its European affiliation, which changed from ALDE in 2007 to the Alliance of Socialists and Democrats in 2009.

The third strongest party, in opposition in 2009, the Liberal Party (PNL) dates back to 1875 and has a strong commitment to Liberal values and policies as proven by its affiliation to the Liberal International, and its membership in the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe Group in the EP. They are also affiliated with the European Liberal Democrat and Reform Party, a confederation of 56 national Liberal parties across Europe.

In 2004, the Greater Romania Party (PRM) was refused membership in the European People’s Party. Immediately after Romania joined the EU, the PRM Euro-observers became parliamentarians until the 2007 EP elections were organized and, together with other extreme-right parties, formed a group called ‘Identity, Tradition, Sovereignty’ (ITS). In this were the French National Front, Alessandra Mussolini of the Italian Social Alternative, another Italian party Tricolour Flame, the Flemish Interest (Belgium), The National Union Attack (Bulgaria), Freedom Party (Austria) and one independent MEP from the UK. Due to declarations against Romanians living in Italy by Alessandra Mussolini, declarations which were found offensive by PRM, the party withdrew from the group (Ziare online, 14 November 2007). Their action ultimately disqualified the ITS as an official group in the EP. After the 2007 elections, the PRM did not have any MEPs and currently, after the 2009 elections, its three European parliamentarians are non-affiliated.
5.1 Party attitudes towards the European Union

Across EU Member States European Union issues have been amongst those which have caused few internal party conflicts (Borz, 2009b). Likewise in Romania, the level of party programmatic cohesion was slightly affected by issues of European enlargement and integration. According to experts, parties like PRM and PC, in particular, had internal tensions over these subjects. The PRM approached the idea of the EU with caution and manifested opposition. Due to electoral reasons however, their discourse changed into a more positive one, as soon as the EP elections approached. The rest of the Romanian parliamentary parties agreed on the importance of EU membership and of complying with post-accession EU requirements.

6 Public opinion and the European Union

Considering how little attention was given to the European elections, Romanians were the most supportive of the EU amongst Europeans, with 66 per cent and 67 per cent of respondents in autumn 2008 (Eurobarometer 70, 2009) and spring 2009 (Eurobarometer 71.1, 2009), respectively, supporting their country’s membership in the EU. When asked to give an opinion and to describe how they perceived the EU, Romanians again were at the top of the EU-27. About 64 per cent of Romanians had a positive image of the EU, compared to an average of only 43 per cent across EU-27 Member States. A similar 63 per cent of Romanians, 7 per cent above the EU-27 average, believed in 2009 that the country benefitted from EU membership. The perception of benefits from membership – high identification with Europe, and a willingness to be part of Europe – have been constant since the collapse of Communism. Prior to the 2007 accession, 75 per cent of Romanian respondents declared in 2004 that the country would benefit from joining the EU. Public support for and positive opinions about the EU were not reflected in and did not stem from a high level of knowledge about the EU. Only 11 per cent

Table 30.2b List of Romanian political parties and their European alignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National party</th>
<th>Party family</th>
<th>Political group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Conservatism</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>Liberal Conservatism</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christian Democracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>Liberalism</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>Liberal Conservatism</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minority politics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>Nationalism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Far Right</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: PD (The Democratic Party) and PLD (The Liberal Democratic Party) ran as separate parties in the June 2007 European elections and at the end of December, same year, they merged and formed the PD-L (The Democratic Liberal Party).
of Romanians had a high level of knowledge about the EU in 2004, and, in 2009, a high 42 per cent of respondents declared that they did not understand how the EU worked. Rather, the public’s positive endorsement of the EU was the result of the overthrow of Communism and of the hope that the EU would become a means of escaping Romania’s totalitarian past.

Not only was the vote preference in the EU elections predicted to be influenced by national issues, so too was the turnout. Across new Member States, and likewise in Romania, national politics related to post-Communist legacies and public perceptions of high corruption depressed turnout. Besides these issues, factors such as turnout in the last national election are all more important in influencing turnout than EU-level measures (Rose and Borz, 2010).

A Eurobarometer study (70, 2009) carried out in October–November 2009, half a year before the 2009 EP elections, revealed that the major themes likely to influence voters in the European Parliament elections all pertained to national politics. None of the European issues listed were mentioned by more than 20 per cent of respondents. The most important theme likely to influence voters’ behaviour in the 2009 EP elections at the time was considered to be economic growth, which was indicated by 64 per cent of Romanian respondents. Pensions followed, with 44 per cent, then unemployment at 39 per cent, inflation at 38 per cent, crime at 30 per cent, terrorism at 23 per cent, climate change at 20 per cent, and finally immigration at 19 per cent. There was a general consensus that economic matters were the main theme for the EP elections, both in Romania and across EU-27 Member States. Eurobarometer respondents across the EU-27 perceived that the main themes on which the EP elections would be decided were economic growth, unemployment, and inflation.

A few months later, when asked the same question, ‘what are the two most important issues you are facing at the moment?’, the January–February 2009 Eurobarometer (71, 2009) confirmed a general European as well as Romanian interest for economic issues. Compared to the previous survey, economic growth (+1 per cent), inflation (+4 per cent), and unemployment (+9 per cent) were again considered major issues for citizens before the EP 2009 elections in Romania. This upward trend was similarly confirmed across all EU-27 Member States.

### National and EP electoral systems

The national parliamentary elections are held under a mixed electoral system that was applied for the first time in 2008. Previously, the electoral system used proportional representation under closed lists, and for the distribution of seats, the d’Hondt method was used. Single member
districts (SMDs) were introduced at the 2008 parliamentary elections to increase the responsibility and accountability of Romanian MPs. The votes are counted twice: once for the candidate in the SMDs and once for the party list in the 43 multi-member regions (Rose and Munro, 2009, 213). The seats are distributed in three stages: first, at the level of SMDs, seats are allocated to candidates who win an absolute majority of votes. Then, at the level of the multi-member region, the rest of the seats are allocated according to the Hare quota and finally, at the national level, any remaining unallocated seats are distributed according to the d’Hondt formula applied to the wasted votes in the multi-member regions.

For the European elections however, the electoral system at work is proportional representation based on closed party lists. Electoral law no. 33/2007 regulating the European elections was adopted by the Romanian Parliament immediately after accession. Party lists and independent candidates have to be supported by 200,000 and 100,000 signatures, respectively. The lists can accommodate a maximum of 43 candidates, which will enter the electoral battle for the 33 seats allocated to Romania at the European Parliament (EP) in 2009. The whole country is considered to be one electoral district and the allocation of mandates is done according to the d’Hondt method. As in the case of national elections, the electoral campaign lasts one month with a break of two days before polling day. Opinion polls are also forbidden 48 hours prior to election day. Media access, television, radio, and printed media, is granted proportionally to the number of candidates a party, political alliance, or electoral alliance has on the list. The electoral threshold is 5 per cent out of the total valid votes. An independent candidate can only be elected if he or she polls at least the national electoral coefficient. The latter is calculated by dividing the total valid votes at the national level by the number of Euro mandates, 35 in 2007 and 33 in 2009. The right to candidature in elections is given to any Romanian citizen over the age of 23.

8 A glance at EP and national elections

The electoral campaign for the 2007 EP elections included 13 parties and an independent, László Tökés. Six of the candidate parties did not have an EP group affiliation. Apart from the independent candidate, only five other parties succeeded in securing seats to the European Parliament. The Liberal Democratic Party secured thirteen seats, the Social Democrat and the Conservative Party together obtained ten seats, the National Liberal Party won six seats, and the Hungarian Democratic Union of Romania, two seats.

The focus of the campaign was mainly on national issues. However, some of the election themes revolved around the topic of how and what Romania could add to regional security. Parties also aimed to make electors aware of the fact that MEPs would contribute to decisions that would affect all 27 EU countries. They also stressed the importance of mobilizing the electorate to understand the transition from being a candidate to being a Member State of the European Union.

Party manifestos in 2007 were not focused on key issues for Romanian citizens such as the Common Agricultural Policy or commercial policy, but mainly on energy and environmental policies or EU institutional reform. Romanian parties justified the emphasis on internal issues during the electoral campaign as a strategy used in order to attract voters to the polls. Turnout for the 2007 European elections however was only 29.4 per cent, about 10 percentage points lower than for the 2008 national elections and almost half of the turnout registered in the 2004 national elections.

Participation in the national elections organized before and after the Euro-election was higher, with 10 per cent in 2008 parliamentary elections and with almost 20 per cent in the second tour of the presidential elections. The latter were organized only six months after the European elections. Participation in the presidential elections of November 2009 was 56.9 per cent.
### Table 30.4 EP election results in Romania: 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National party</th>
<th>Vote share %</th>
<th>No of MEPs</th>
<th>Political group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>EPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tökes L.*</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Greens/EFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIN</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNT¸CD</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others**</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Turnout** 29.5


Notes:
* independent candidate;
** Others include: Pro-Europe Roma Party (Partida Romilor Pro-Europa) 1.14%; Socialist Alliance Party (Partidul Alianţa Socialiştă) 0.55%, Green Party (Partidul Verde) 0.33%.

### Table 30.5 National election results in Romania: 2004–2012 %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Political party</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSN*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.U.R*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNT¸CD</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>ARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MER</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDAR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSDR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>USL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDSN</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUNR*</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSM*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-L*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>ARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSR*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD+PSDR</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANL*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
### 9 The 2009 European election

#### 9.1 Party lists and manifestos

Compared to the 2007 Euro-elections, fewer parties participated in the contest due to the reduction of parliamentary parties and the formation of electoral alliances. The number of candidatures decreased in 2009, when only seven parties and two independent candidates participated in the EP electoral campaign starting on 8 May 2009. The lists proposed by the Green Party and the Ecologist Party, and the candidature of one independent, were rejected by the Romanian Central Electoral Bureau after the signatures associated with the party lists and the independent candidate were verified. Also, two relatively small parties, PIN and PNG, did not run in the European elections in 2009. PNG leader George Becali signed an electoral pact with the PRM and was considered on the list of the Greater Romania Party.

PD-L’s programme for the 2009 European elections called for a country without corruption, for solidarity, for joining the Schengen zone, for better status for Romanian workers in the European labour market, for measures to overcome the economic crisis, for an improved agricultural sector, and for helping Moldova with the process of joining the EU. The opposition party, PSD, focused its discourse during the EP election campaign on the necessity of increasing

---

**Table 30.5 (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Democratic Pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApR²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNL-R.C³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSD¹+PC</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>USL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNG-CD</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA: PNL+PD</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USL³</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARD³</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP-DD</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turnout</strong></td>
<td><strong>58.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>41.76</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Notes:**

- In 1992 the party suffered a split; one splinter ran the 1992 elections as FDSN (future PSD) and in 1993 the other faction changed its name to PD (Democratic Party).
- For the 1990 elections, the A.U.R. was composed of PUNRT (which will become PUNR) and Republican Party.
- Consisted of FER (Romanian Ecological Federation), PDAR, PNL-CD, PNTCD, PNL.
- PUNR was absorbed by PC in 2006.
- Partly absorbed by PSD in 2003.
- FSN in 1992, under the name PD from 1993 until 2007, when it merged with PLD and became PD-L.
- PDSR was the former FDSN merged with two other minor parties.
- Electoral alliance formed by PSDR, PDSR and PUR.
- Electoral alliance for the 2012 elections USL comprised of PSD, PNL, PC, UNPR (National Union for the Romanian Progress).
- Electoral alliance for the 2012 elections ARD comprised of PDL, FC, PNTCD.
pensions, salaries in the public sector, and on European funds to help the agriculture industry and other industrial sectors. The PNL electoral manifesto for the 2009 EP elections was called: ‘Liberal Europe works for Romania.’ The main objectives highlighted in the document were support for small- and medium-sized businesses, the necessity of subsidies for agriculture, the status of Romanians abroad and their right to work, politics towards Moldova, and perspectives on European integration. The PRM is the only Romanian party which openly speaks about the negative effects of European integration and which also has an anti-immigrant policy stance. In comparison with the other non-attached parties in the EP, they are more pro-welfare state, advocate more strongly the necessity of law and order and the role of religious values in politics, and are against permissive attitudes towards same-sex marriages or legalizing soft drugs.

Important European topics such as food security, energy, subventions and structural funds were almost missing from the electoral manifestos. Most of the topics discussed were related to unemployment, living standards, salaries and pensions, and housing for young couples. Pensions and increased salaries in the public sector were issues raised by the PSD, all associated with the message ‘Choose well’. Starting with a motto ‘For better or worse’, the PD-L used the idea of family in order to suggest the representation of Romanian families in the big European family at the EP. Its candidates, along with those of the PSD and PNL, promised European funds and a continuing fight against corruption. The UDMR detached themselves from the forthcoming presidential campaign and promoted themselves as the ambassadors of Transylvania in relation to Europe. The Greater Romania Party had an expected and unsurprising anti-corruption and justice-oriented campaign, with the motto ‘Down with the mafia and up with the country.’ After losing their national parliamentary seats in 2008, the party leader, Vadim managed to revitalize the party by bringing in businessman George Becali, the former President of PNG, on their list of Euro-candidates. When compared to other members inside their European party group, in the ALDE group for example, the PNL did not put too much emphasis on green issues, did not oppose immigration, and was about average with its position towards European integration. Whilst PSD MEPs were about average in their S&D group, although slightly less permissive, the PD-L was more pro-immigration than the vast majority of parties forming the EPP group.

9.2 Electoral campaign

Although they had a low impact on turnout, efforts to publicize the EP elections came from both the European and the national side. The European Parliament launched a campaign aimed at informing the public and at stimulating their interest in the European elections. The campaign targeted several methods of communication, such as television adverts on the national television channel TVR1, one radio advertisement, banners and big advertising posters, multimedia cabins with messages addressed to Romanian voters, webpages, seminars for journalists, as well as social networking sites such as Facebook, MySpace, and Flicker. The multimedia cabin was placed in tourist areas in the capital Bucharest and in Cluj-Napoca. The advertising posters were launched on 1 April 2009 and were active for about one month.

In 2009, the mass media’s interest in the electoral campaign was lower. Radio and television debates were organized, as designated by law, but several local experts noted that the campaign prepared by the parties was not as aggressive as in previous national elections. Non-governmental organizations organized a common front under the name Coalition for a Clean Parliament-European Elections (CPC-AE) and monitored 100 candidates for the EP. At the end of the process, the coalition made public a list of 11 names, two representatives of PSD, PNL, PD, three candidates of PRM, and one candidate of PNG and UDMR, who, according to them, did not fulfil the integrity criteria for becoming an MEP.
In order to mobilize citizens, the Romanian government also publicized the elections for one week at the end of the electoral campaign. Civil society was involved in monitoring the electoral campaign in various ways. The foundation Civil Society for Moral Reform made public a black list of candidates for the European Parliament. The list included 17 names of people who, according to the foundation, could not ethically and morally represent the interests of Romanians and of the European Parliament. Academia Catăvencu, a well-known satirical magazine, also made public a list of names drawn from amongst the Euro candidates which the publication did not believe should represent Romania in the EP. Besides these actions, the non-governmental association Pro Democracy ran a project in partnership with the Ministry of Education which involved 45 high schools to inform and stimulate the pupils to participate in the June EP elections.

Romanian parties promoted their candidates to the European Parliament more than their party programmes on European issues. Their campaigning included banners, posters, calendars, hats, T-shirts, lighters, and balloons with the name of the candidate or of the party and less specific EU-related slogans. The electoral campaigning included some social issues not necessarily related to the specifics of the EP elections. The European dimension of the elections, and in particular their impact on the political future of Romania, were almost absent from the video messages of the Romanian candidates. As noted by the Romanian press, parties used the same populist messages (România Liberă 2009a, 27 May) in the EP campaign as in the national election campaign. Their main messages related to employment, salaries, increase of child benefits, modernization of transport, and housing for young couples. The campaign was a bit disconnected from the reality of the economic crisis and did not emphasize what role Romanian representatives should play in the EP. Most of the candidates did not explain in detail why they wanted to go to Brussels or what kind of projects they planned to develop once arrived in the European legislative arena. The media also affirmed the use of the June 2009 campaign for the European elections as a rehearsal for the November 2009 presidential elections (România Liberă 2009b, 11 May). Most parties took the opportunity to announce their candidates for the presidential election and to communicate their message against the incumbent President Băsescu.

### 9.3 Electoral results

A lower number of electoral competitors in 2009 had positive effects on electoral proportionality. The number of wasted votes, cast for parties with no seats, was substantially reduced from 2007 to 2009. The difference between the percentage of votes received and the percentage of seats that parties gained, as calculated by the disproportionality index, was reduced from 7.6 in 2007 to 1.9 in 2009 (Radu, 2009). Higher proportionality in 2009 means that the votes cast for party lists or independent candidates situated below the electoral threshold were much lower than in the previous Euro-election.

In 2009 the Social Democrats, who were in opposition, gained slightly more popularity, but they obtained about the same percentage of the vote as the Democratic Liberals. Whilst in 2007, the incumbent PD had thirteen MEPs, and the PSD had only ten, in 2009 their fortunes balanced and the PSD received eleven EP seats, compared to ten obtained by the PD-L. The seats won by the Liberal Party had been reduced by one, from six in 2007 to five in 2009. The Hungarian minority party gained three seats in 2009, one more as compared to 2007.

Towards the party group configuration in the EP in 2007, Romania contributed eighteen MEPs to the PPE-ED group, ten to the PSE group; six to the ALDE group and one MEP to the Greens/ALE group. This distribution was relatively the same in 2009. The only groups which received fewer MEPs were the EPP and the S&D (14 EPP, 11 S&D, five ALDE, three NA). In 2009, however, due to the mandates won by the Greater Romania Party, three of the newly-elected MEPs from PRM did not join any political group and remained non-affiliated.
Table 30.6 EP election results in Romania: 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National party</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Vote share</th>
<th>No of MEPs</th>
<th>Political group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Democrat (Democratic Party)</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Party of European Socialists (PES) (until end of 2007) European People’s Party (thereafter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Social Democrat Român (Romanian Social Democrat Party)</td>
<td>PSDR</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Party of European Socialists (PES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Național Liberal (National Liberal Party)</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe (ALDE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Liberal Democrat (Liberal Democratic Party)</td>
<td>PLD</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>European People’s Party (EPP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniunea Democrată a Maghiarilor din România (Hungarian Democratic Union)</td>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>European People’s Party (EPP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Noastra Generație-Creștin Democrat (Party of the New Generation)</td>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul România Mare (Greater Romania Party)</td>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>László Tokés (Independent)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance (Greens/EFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Conservator (Conservative Party)</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Inițiativa Națională (National Initiative Party)</td>
<td>PIN</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partidul Național Târânească Crăiștă (Christian Democrat National Peasants’ Party)</td>
<td>PNȚCD</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others*</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note:
* Others includes: Partida Romilor Pro-Europa (Pro-Europe Roma Party) 1.14%, Partidul Alianța Socialistă (Socialist Alliance Party) 0.55%, Partidul Verde (Green Party) 0.33%. 
Compared to the November 2008 national elections, the most important parties retained their supremacy in the 2009 EP elections. In the 2008 national elections, the Democratic Liberal Party (PD-L) won the most seats in both chambers, and was closely followed by the Social Democratic Party (PSD), which contested the elections in an alliance with the smaller Conservative Party (PC). The National Liberal Party in alliance with the Christian Democratic National Peasant’s Party (PNTCD), came third. The Greater Romania Party had somewhat different electoral fortunes. The party came third in the 2004 national election, following the major coalitions PSD-PC and PNL-PD. In the following 2008 national elections the party lost all seats won in 2004 and experienced a slight upward trend in the 2009 EP elections.

Romanian MEPs range from high-profile career politicians who previously have had party, governmental, or parliamentary positions, to young politicians who can use the EP mandate to enhance their national political career, and a few amateur politicians as well, who previously had little or no experience of high-profile, elected public positions. With an average age of 46, the vast majority of Romanian MEPs have previously held a party position. Some of them have been involved in politics since 1990 or earlier and some held ministerial positions in previous governments. Professionally, the predominant vocation is economist, followed by engineer or lawyer.

Although the total number of MEPs has decreased from 35 to 33, the gender gap has been reduced. The number of women MEPs has increased by 5 per cent, from 34 per cent in 2007, to 39 per cent in 2009. Compared to an average age of 43 in 2007, an increase of three years is found in 2009, mainly because of MEPs’ mandate renewal. Whilst in 2007 the eldest MEP was 64 and the youngest 27, in 2009 both the maximum and minimum age went up by three years. Regarding their tenure, almost two-thirds of current MEPs are in their second mandate and some of them were also observers to the EP before 2007. This continuity is mainly due to a centralized procedure of candidate selection and to their positioning on the party lists. In order to increase their chances of being re-elected, in 2009 parties placed highly on their lists those candidates who already had experience with the EP (Central Electoral Bureau website, 2010). Three UDMR candidates had already been MEPs in 2007 and they occupied the first three positions on the party list. Of the PNL’s candidates, four already had been MEPs in 2007 and were placed in between the second and fifth places on the list. Likewise, eight of the PDL candidates had already represented Romania in the EP from 2007–2009. They were placed in between the first and fourteenth places on the party list. The electoral alliance PSD-PC had 43 candidates on their list like all the other parties, out of which nine, former MEPs in 2007, have been placed in between the first and sixteenth position on the list.

The MEPs’ committee memberships are mostly assigned according to their professions. In terms of the allocation of committee chairs, Romania was given five Vice–Chair positions in the Committees Development, the Subcommittee on Security and Defence, the Delegation for relations with the countries of the Andean Community, the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs, and the Committee on Transport and Tourism. The Chair position was given for the delegation to the EU-Moldova Parliamentary Cooperation Committee.

### 9.4 Campaign finance

Total spending involved in the 2009 EP campaign was around €3.1 million (13,184,407 lei) out of which 46.1 per cent were donations. Total party spending declared in the 2009 EP elections (€5 million, 21,121,893 lei) was close to the 2007 figures and much lower compared to the resources invested in the 2008 national election campaign. Low EP campaign spending was visible on the electoral posters. The graphic concepts characterizing the 2008 national elections were slightly modified and used again in the 2009 Euro elections. In the electoral campaign for the 2007 EP
### Table 30.7 List of Romanian MEPs: seventh legislature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>National party</th>
<th>Political group</th>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Professional background</th>
<th>Year of birth</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Second mandate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elena Oana Antonescu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Environment, Public Health and Food Safety</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elena Băsescu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Petitions</td>
<td>Economist</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Becali</td>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Agriculture and Rural Development</td>
<td>Administrator</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian Valentin Bodu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Legal Affairs</td>
<td>Barrister</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Boștinaru</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Regional Development, Petitions</td>
<td>Teacher</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristian Silviu Bușoi</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
<td>Budgets, Environment, Public Health and Food Safety</td>
<td>Doctor</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corina Crețu</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Development (Vice-Chair), Financial, Economic and Social Crisis</td>
<td>Economist</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Sabin Cutaș</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Economic and Monetary Affairs</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasilica Viorica Dâncilă</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Agriculture and Rural Development</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioan Enciu</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Industry, Research and Energy</td>
<td>Engineer, economist</td>
<td>1953</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cătălin Sorin Ivan</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Budgetary Control, Culture and Education</td>
<td>Economist</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petru Constantin Luhan</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Regional Development</td>
<td>Financial manager</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>National party</th>
<th>Political group</th>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Professional background</th>
<th>Year of birth</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Second mandate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monica Luisa Macovei</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Delegation to the EU-Moldova Parliamentary Cooperation (Chair)</td>
<td>Prosecutor</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramona Nicole Mănescu</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
<td>Regional Development</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marian-Jean Marinescu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Transport and Tourism</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iosif Matula</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Regional Development</td>
<td>Engineer</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norica Nicolai PNL ALDE</td>
<td>PNL ALDE</td>
<td>Regional Development</td>
<td>Lawyer/lecturer</td>
<td>1958 Male no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rareş-Lucian Niculescu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Agriculture and Rural Development</td>
<td>Political scientist</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ioan Mircea Paşcu</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>Economist/political scientist</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rovana Plumb</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Employment and Social Affairs</td>
<td>Economist</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristian Dan Preda</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>Political scientist</td>
<td>1966 Female no</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daciana Octavia Sârbu</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Delegation for relations with the countries of the Andean Community (Chair), Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety</td>
<td>Lawyer</td>
<td>1977 Female yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Party</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Profession and Affiliations</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrian Severin</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Csaba Sógor</td>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs (Vice-chair)</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theodor Dumitru Stolojan</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>(Vice-chair) Economic and Monetary Affairs, Special Committee on the Financial, Economic and Social Crisis</td>
<td>1943</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu</td>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (Vice-chair) Committee on Transport and Tourism</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silvia-Adriana Țicu</td>
<td>PSD</td>
<td>S&amp;D</td>
<td>Software engineer</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>László Tökés</td>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Culture and Education</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traian Ungureanu</td>
<td>PD-L</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>Employment and Social Affairs</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneliu Vadim Tudor</td>
<td>PRM</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Culture and Education</td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>no</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adina-Ioana Valean</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
<td>Industry, Research and Energy, Petitions</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renate Weber</td>
<td>PNL</td>
<td>ALDE</td>
<td>Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iuliu Winkler</td>
<td>UDMR</td>
<td>EPP</td>
<td>International Trade</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

elections, only five parties (Greater Romania Party, Green Party, Socialist Alliance, National Christian Democrat Party, and National Alliance Party) out of 13 limited their spending and ended the campaign within budget, but none of these parties managed to get an EP seat. Total campaign spending in the 2008 national election however, involved almost four times more resources when compared to the 2007 EP election. The different importance attributed to elections was reflected proportionally in the level of donations received. Total campaign financing for 2007 was around €5.5 million (22,908,144 lei), whilst total party revenue for the campaign was €3.4 million (14,178,388 lei). The difference (38.2 per cent) was attributed to donations. By comparison, according to the report of the Romanian permanent electoral authority, total campaign spending for the national parliamentary elections in 2008 was about the equivalent of €17 million (70,371,819 lei) out of which 45 per cent came from the parties’ budgets and 55 per cent came from donations.

10 Theoretical interpretation of Euro-elections

10.1 Second-Order Election theory

When testing the Second-Order Election model, Koepke and Ringe (2006) found that in CEECs, government parties do not lose systematically in EP Elections and that citizens do not really express a protest vote. A party’s relationship with the collapse of Communism, together with the economic situation, can affect its vote share. Parties that evolved from the Communist era are more successful when the economy suffers and parties that evolved from anti-Communist movements are more successful when the economy is doing well (Tucker, 2006). After only two electoral contests organized so far, from the point of view of low turnout, EP elections can be considered secondary in Romania. However, not all the implications of SOE theory apply to the Romanian case. As it will be outlined in this section, second-order elections status does not equally imply large losses for government parties. In Romania, they did not suffer a great decline in electoral support when compared to smaller parties or even in comparison to the previous first-order election.

In a context so supportive of the EU, Romanian turnout in the European elections was quite low. Only 29.5 per cent of Romanians turned out to vote in 2007 and even fewer, 27.7 per cent, turned out in 2009. Romanian participation in the Euro-elections was well below 45.4 and 43 per cent, which were the European means in 2007 and 2009, respectively. Low participation in Euro-elections went hand in hand with the low interest shown in these elections. Eurobarometer figures from autumn 2008 show that only 19 per cent of Romanians knew that the next EP elections would take place in 2009. A majority of 53 per cent, however, declared themselves interested in these elections. In January–February 2009, the percentage of Romanians who knew about the 2009 EP elections rose to 30 per cent, and so did their interest, to 56 per cent. The intention not to vote in elections was under-reported, 7 per cent in autumn 2008 and of 8 per cent in January–February 2009. The percentage of those who definitely intended to vote was 20 per cent in autumn 2008 and 26 per cent in January–February 2009, figures that were very close to the actual turnout.

There is an obvious gap between Euro-elections and national elections, which confirms the supremacy of first-order elections. Compared to the latest national elections, turnout in the first European elections was almost 30 per cent lower. Political parties gave higher priority to national elections and did not make similar efforts to mobilize the electorate for their EP candidates. Participation in the national elections organized before and after the Euro-election was higher, with 10 per cent in 2008 parliamentary elections and with almost 20 per cent in the second tour of the presidential elections. The latter were organized only six months after the European elections. Participation in the presidential elections of November 2009 was 56.9 per cent.
When one compares the 2009 Euro-elections to the 2008 national election results, the governing parties (PD-L and UDMR) did not lose votes to the opposition parties and the PD-L vote share was almost identical with that of PSD-PC. The independent candidate Elena Băsescu, daughter of President Traian Băsescu, re-joined the PD-L after the election, which established equality between the EP mandates won by the Social Democrats and the Democratic Liberals. Lower turnout in European elections did not necessarily affect the supporters of parties in government more as opposed to those in opposition. The vast majority of those who voted for the opposition or the incumbent parties in the 2008 national elections, also voted in the 2009 European elections. Out of the PSD voters in 2008, 70 per cent of them voted in the EP elections as well, against 30 per cent who did not vote. Similar participation was registered from amongst the PD-L voters, 66 per cent of them participated in the EP elections against 34 per cent who did not; and from the PNL voters as well, 71 per cent against 29 per cent. The most mobilized and constant voters are those of the UDMR; 78 per cent of its voters in the national elections also voted in the European elections.

Large parties did not lose a large percentage of votes to smaller parties. The Hungarian minority party maintained a constant vote share across all the national and European elections. Because of the high mobilization of the Hungarian minority and given the low turnout of the Romanian population, compared to the 2008 national elections, the UDMR increased their vote share by 2 per cent. There is no strong Green Party on the Romanian political landscape. The vote share of other small parties such as the Green Party at the 2007 European elections was very low, below 1 per cent, and they did not participate in the 2009 elections. The far-right, Greater Romania Party did not gain national parliamentary representation in 2008, but won three MEPs seats in the 2009 EP elections.

The results and the turnout did not appear to be influenced by electoral timing. Whilst the 2007 EP elections came towards the end of the election cycle, with the 2008 parliamentary elections approaching, the 2009 EP elections took place at the beginning of the electoral cycle, with the next parliamentary elections held at the end of 2012 and the turnout in both elections was very similar – 29.5 per cent and 27.7 per cent in 2007 and 2009 respectively.

10.2 Europe Salience theory

The complementary Europe Salience theory does not find support for the majority of its predictions in the Romanian case. The theory posits that Europe matters in European elections through party policy positioning, low turnout should go hand in hand with declining support for European integration, and, as a consequence, extreme parties should do better in European elections than in national elections (Hix and Marsh, 2007). In the case of the 2007 and 2009 EP elections in Romania, low turnout did not go hand in hand with low support for European unification. A large majority of Romanians who did not vote in the Euro-elections still thought that EU membership was a good thing.

The far-right Greater Romania Party won three seats in the European Parliament in the 2009 Euro elections. The party opposed the country’s accession to the European Union in the 1990s, then changed its discourse due to electoral reasons, and in 2009 went back to an anti-EU integration stance (Borz and Rose, 2010, 1). Two-thirds of its party’s voters were, however, pro-integration, suggesting that the party’s position on the EU was not decisive when they cast their vote. There was no strong Green Party on the Romanian political landscape. The vote share of the Green Party in the 2007 European elections was very low, below 1 per cent, and their lists were not accepted in the 2009 elections due to a lack of sufficient signatures.
Another indication of the lack of focus on EU policy issues and the EU elections was their overlap with other important national political issues. In 2007, the EU elections were postponed until November and overlapped with the referendum for the uninominal vote. The second European ballot in June 2009 was coloured by messages about the forthcoming presidential contest organized later in November that year. In 2009 the media and national parties used the EP electoral contest to signal the approach of the presidential elections, especially given the fragile relations between the incumbent Romanian President Traian Băsescu and Parliament. During his 2004–2009 mandate, a referendum was held in May 2007 after a joint session of the legislature voted to suspend the President from office on account of unconstitutional conduct. Almost 75 per cent of voters backed Băsescu and he was formally reinstated as a President on 23 May (Borz, 2009a, 480). The move against the President was caused by his active role in politics, especially his strong stance against corruption. This created political tensions, especially in the context of a lack of a majority to back him in the national Parliament.
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