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49.1 Mobilizing Interaction

49.1.1 From Telegraph to Mobile

The past 150 years signify unprecedented development in the ways organizations organize distributed activities. The invention of scientific management at the end of the nineteenth century along with technological innovations such as the telegraph, filing cabinets, and printers suddenly made it possible to manage large-scale organizational activities distributed across continents much more efficiently and effectively (Yates, 1989). A variety of physical information management technologies played an increasingly critical role, but the birth of the business computer in post-war 1950s marked the beginning of an era of rapid acceleration in the importance of complex digital information management for business across all sectors (Caminer et al., 1998). During the next 30 years, mainframe computers formed the backbone of organizational information management. The 1980s gave birth to the networked personal computer allowing further distribution of computing power (Hinds and Kiesler, 2002). Fast forward to the present and we witness the pulverization of computing access with myriads of mobile phones, digital recording devices, contact-less sensors, etc. (Yoo, 2010). Portable, handheld, and embedded client technologies are interconnected through personal, local, and global digital networks. The combination of powerful client technologies connected through high-speed networks to a variety of cloud services provides a powerful digital infrastructure, the consequences of which we have yet to fully grasp (Tilson et al., 2010).
49.1.2 Predictions

We tend to be rather poor at understanding the technological development when it is right underneath our noses. Several prominent predictions of the impact of information technology turned out to be off the mark (Sørensen, 2011, p. 20ff). IBM’s Chairman Thomas Watson famously remarked in 1943, “I think there is a world market for maybe five computers.” Digital Equipment Corporation cofounder Ken Olsen stated in 1977, “there is no reason for any individual to have a computer in his home.” Even Bill Gates’s proposition in the late 1980s that “Microsoft was founded with a vision of a computer on every desk, and in every home” now seems to greatly undershoot a reality littered with computation. Xerox Lab’s Mark Weiser came close when he in 1991 stated that “The most profound technologies are those that disappear. They weave themselves into the fabric of everyday life until they are indistinguishable from it” and thus defined the foundation for ubiquitous information technology. Harold S. Osborne already in 1954 famously predicted a device similar to a mobile phone with a unique ID given to each person at birth and following him or her until death.

49.1.3 Researching Mobile Information Technology Practices

A variety of research has been conducted into mobile information technology practices, and this section briefly classifies the research into the four categories of studies: (1) the mobile phone applied in a general social context, (2) broad ranges of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies used in a social context, (3) the mobile phone used to support work activities, and (4) broad ranges of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies used for the purpose of work. The last category is labeled “enterprise mobility” and is the focus of the remainder of the chapter. Before leaping onto this important subject, the following will briefly discuss all four categories of mobile information technology research.

49.1.3.1 Mobile Phone in Society

It is not strange that a significant research effort has been put into understanding the role of one single technology—the mobile phone—in society. There are currently billions of mobile phones in use across the globe, and the use of mobile phones crosses geographical, social, religious, and income barriers. This has led to a number of books and articles within the field engaged in the social study of mobile communications. While significant and important, it is essential to note that this research field is mainly interested in studying social phenomena and seems less interested in understanding technological diversity. Good examples of this line of research are Agar’s (2003) account of the history of the mobile phone; Baron’s (2008) work on the coevolution of technological practices and language; and a large body of work exploring the new communicative practices and changes on social rituals emerging from mobile phone use by researchers such as Ling (2004, 2008), Harper et al. (2005), Licoppe and Heurtin (2001), Licoppe and Smoreda (2006), Licoppe (2004), Fortunati (2002, 2005), and Katz and Aakhus (2002), to name a few. The general and widespread global diffusion of mobile phone communication has been explored by Castells et al. (2007) and Funk (2004). Some research has also explored regional characteristics, for example, in Japan (Ito et al., 2005), in the Asia-Pacific region (Rao and Mendoza, 2005; Pertierra, 2007; Hjorth, 2009), and in developing countries in general (Horst and Miller, 2006; Donner, 2008).

49.1.3.2 Mobile and Ubiquitous Information Technologies in Society

Despite the immense global success of the mobile phone, there are good reasons for studying technological properties in use more broadly beyond this one incarnation. Mobile and ubiquitous technologies are used in conjunction with the mobile phone, and the mobile phone is integrating a number of previously distinct technologies. This shapes everyday life by what Yoo (2010) characterizes as “experiential computing,” which is the everyday experience with a range of technologies embedding computational abilities, such as mobile phones, iPods, digital cameras, GPS navigators, digital photo frames, smart toasters, intelligent ovens, in-car entertainment systems, etc. Relatively little research beyond specific
studies into the human–computer interaction aspects has been conducted within this area, which can be characterized as the social study of ubiquitous computing. Good example of such research is the work on design, intimacy, and environment as digital technologies disappear into everyday life by authors, such as Weiser (1991), Norman (1988, 1993, 1999), Dourish (2001), Mitchell (1995, 2003), and Mccullough (2004). Researchers have also studied changes in influence and power distribution as a result of mobile and ubiquitous technologies, for example, the consumer impact of radio frequency identification (RFID) technology (Albrecht and McIntyre, 2006) and wearable computing for citizen activism (Mann and Niedzviecki, 2002).

49.1.3.3 Mobile Phone at Work

There is a relatively small body of research specifically focused on the organizational use of the mobile phone at work, which is striking when considering the organizational proliferation of this technology. It is, however, often difficult to specifically isolate the mobile phone at work since it will often be an element in a larger portfolio of mobile and ubiquitous technologies now when the mobile phone is less associated with the isolated connectivity of voice calls and SMS messaging. The social study of the mobile phone at work has researched a diversity of issues, such as the role of the mobile phone in maintaining ongoing social contact while at work (Wajcman et al., 2008); the intensification of work (Bittman et al., 2009); work–life boundary maintenance (Golden and Geisler, 2007; Wajcman et al., 2008); and the organizational use of mobile e-mail (Mazmanian et al., 2006; Straus et al., 2010). Although not research based, Kellaway’s (2005) amusing fictional account of mobile e-mail forms a highly informative image into contemporary organizational life with mobile e-mail.

49.1.3.4 Mobile and Ubiquitous Information Technologies at Work: Enterprise Mobility

The emergent organizational use of a range of diverse mobile and ubiquitous technologies led Lyyninen and Yoo (2002b) call for more research into this subject. This call has largely been ignored across the information systems field the passing decade (Sørensen, 2011). While a significant amount of research has explored how individual users in a social context use the mobile phone, there is little research exploring the organizational use of more complex portfolios of mobile and ubiquitous technologies (Sørensen, 2011). Some research, however, does explore the issue of enterprise mobility. Several edited collections broadly explore mobile and ubiquitous technologies at work and mobile working (Andriessen and Vartiainen, 2005; Sørensen et al., 2005; Basole, 2008; Hislop, 2008; Kourouthanassis and Giaglis, 2008). A range of books are aimed at practitioners engaged in implementing enterprise mobility within their organization, for example, Lattanzi et al. (2006), McGuire (2007), Darden (2009), Reid (2010), and Sathyana et al. (2012).

This chapter reports on such research into enterprise mobility. It focuses on the mobilization of interaction at work through the application of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies. The aim is to provide a conceptual map of the core challenges the mobilization of interaction pose to individuals, teams, and organizations. The chapter argues that the mobilization of interaction at work challenges existing arrangements and that the complex human–technology relationship must be more closely considered in order to understand how to manage unintended consequences.

49.1.4 Mobile Enterprise

Organizations have rapidly adopted a range of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies to support instant connectivity, to access corporate infrastructures, and for a range of other purposes. The first bulky mobile phones from the 1980s have been replaced with smartphones and tablets offering fast Internet access. Tiny microchips and associated sensor technologies can be used to track objects, vehicles, and people, using, for example, RFID, near-field communication (NFC), and the global positioning system (GPS). A great variety of portable and embedded devices beyond the ordinary mobile phone serve a range of purposes. These arrangements move far beyond establishing connectivity between
Implementing through machine-to-machine (M2M) connections. Organizations, of course, constantly consider how emerging information technology can offer new possibilities, but equally, some technologies become important because they represent solutions to existing problems. This two-way bind of problems and solutions interacting through a mesh of technological and organizational arrangements is as old as information technology itself (Yates, 1989). Since the birth of the mainframe, organizations have engaged in an increasingly complex arrangement of locally and globally distributed activities (Urry, 2003). This has made it possible to geographically shift activities depending on changing needs, available skills, and cost levels, for example, extensively separating the design and production of goods and services. Apple’s iPhone is designed in California and manufactured in China with components gathered from further distant countries. Its applications are downloaded from globally distributed server farms and are globally sourced from hundreds of thousands of small and large programming outfits. After-sales support is located wherever is most appropriate for the time of the day or the nature of the customer inquiry.

49.2 Capabilities and Performances

In order to understand this development in general and the organizational consequences of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies in particular, it can be helpful simply to consider the organizational application of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies as the technology performances carried out in the meeting of technological capabilities (see Figure 49.1) and the requirements of organizational activities. The diversity of capabilities is illustrated in Figure 49.1, and Figure 49.2 subsequently illustrates the relationships between capabilities, work requirements, and technology performances.

49.2.1 Mobile Capabilities

Let us first consider six categories of capabilities, or affordances, specifically important for the understanding of the unique characteristics of mobile and ubiquitous technologies at work.

49.2.1.1 Connectivity

The technological development has since the era of the telegraph signaled a process of radically increased connectivity, from the first transatlantic telegraph cable in 1866, over Bell’s telephone patent in 1878 leading to ubiquity of telephones and subsequently fax machines, to Martin Cooper’s first call on a
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handheld mobile phone in 1973 heralding the age of the mobile phone. Rapid development of cellular telecommunications networks followed from the first fully automated (1G) analog mobile network in Japan in 1979, over 2G networks from 1991, high data capacity (3G) networks from 2001, and to the emerging 4G networks. All of this has led to cheap global connectivity where in 2003 mobile phone subscriptions first exceeded fixed-line subscriptions. The year 2012 saw more mobile-connected devices than people on Earth, and it is estimated that 16 billion mobile devices will be interconnected in 2016 (Cisco, 2012).

49.2.1.2 Portability

The miniaturization of information technology has, during the past decades, produced increasingly portable, yet powerful, computational devices. This portability of the computing device forges closer relationships between the technology and the geographical movement of users, objects, and vehicles. Computation is not restricted to specific locations, but can follow organizational processes and members. The term “mobile technology” most frequently refers to the combination of a portable client connected to a network, for example, the mobile phone used for calls and SMS messages. However, in the context of this chapter, mobile technology in a much broader sense refers to technologies that can combine any of the six types of capabilities listed in Figure 49.1: portability, connectivity, intimacy, pervasiveness, memory, and priority.

49.2.1.3 Intimacy

One of the key assumptions is that the closer a technology is situated to the human body, the more critical the user–technology relationship becomes. The mainframe may be important, but the personal computer is highly personal, yet not as critical as the mobile phone, which takes up a position on par with keys and credit cards (Chipchase et al., 2004). The user may consider mobile and ubiquitous technologies as intimate

FIGURE 49.2  The cultivation of interactional fluidity and boundaries through emergent and planned technology performances when the contingencies of work meet technology capabilities.
since it is bodily close and continuously follow the user. However, in terms of technological capabilities, intimacy is in this chapter denoting the ability of the technology to explicitly support an intimate user relationship, for example, by recording user behavior and modeling user preferences. If the technology continuously records and models user behavior and preferences, it can directly support the forging of intimacy.

Capabilities rendering the user known through monitoring, modeling, and interaction signify technologized intimacy, for example, the close proximity to the human body of the client parts of the technology and the ongoing technology relationship fostered by the user. When combined with connectivity, the intimacy can relate to the identifiability of the user through the close physical proximity with technology.

49.2.1.4 Pervasiveness
Pervasiveness here signifies a computer’s capability of relating directly to its environment (Lyytinen and Yoo, 2002a). A pervasive washing machine sensor can allow for the control of soap according to how dirty the water is. A GPS navigator keeps track of a vehicle’s location through awareness of its location in relation to geostationary satellites. The much-used term “ubiquitous computing” is then defined as the combination of portability and pervasiveness with the combined ability to both sense the environment and be carried through it (Lyytinen and Yoo, 2002a).

49.2.1.5 Memory
The technological capability of remembering supports a fundamental distinction between technology merely treating the user relationship as separate and unrelated encounters, as opposed to technological capabilities enabling user interaction forming an ongoing relationship in which the technology can record activities and subsequently react upon these. When the technology does not support memory of an ongoing process, the user is solely responsible for constructing and maintaining memory of the process. When the technology explicitly maintains memory of aspects of the process, the technology can support by managing aspects of the process complexity (Carstensen and Sørensen, 1996). Technology-based memory is generally a prerequisite for comprehensive support of complex decision processes (Mathiassen and Sørensen, 2008).

49.2.1.6 Priority
In a similar manner to information technology supporting the remembering aspects of the interaction, it can also support the prioritization of interaction. While the user of course continually engages in assessments and prioritization when, for example, looking at a phone when called, some of the prioritization can also be supported explicitly by the technology. This can be implemented in the form of a variety of notification and filtering capabilities supporting rules stipulating how the interaction should unfold or directly supporting human choices through providing additional information about the interaction. Such prioritization explicitly treats the interaction as asymmetrical, whereas an absence of priorities implies the assumption of interaction symmetry. Mobile and ubiquitous technologies can directly support the prioritization of interaction through various filtering and awareness mechanisms, for example, by directly excluding or including specific interaction depending on information about content, caller identity, etc. (Oard, 1997; Ljungberg, 1999; Sørensen, 2010). The technological capability of supporting interaction prioritization relates directly to research into user awareness (Heath and Luff, 2000), interruptions (McFarlane, 1998; Rennecker and Godwin, 2005; Wiberg and Whittaker, 2005), and information overload (Hiltz and Turoff, 1985; Schultze and Vandenbosch, 1998; Eppler and Mengis, 2004; Iastrebova, 2006; Harper, 2010).

49.2.2 Complexity of Technology Performances
Enterprise mobility is, in short, the organizational application of complex combinations of the six capabilities discussed earlier embedded in mobile and ubiquitous information technologies. This application
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is governed by the emerging characteristics of the work situations the users find themselves in (see Figure 49.2). These situations are often conflicting and contradictory, and therefore calling for a combination of planned and emerging usage of the technology (Sørensen, 2011). Some activities are characterized by a very high degree of individual discretion regarding what needs to be done and how precisely this must be accomplished. Other activities are governed by next to no rules and procedures and thereby requiring extensive discretion on the part of the individual. A majority of activities share characteristics from both and will require some discretion and therefore also require both planned and emergent technology performances.

The complexity emerging when specific combinations of mobile information technology capabilities within a specific portfolio are evoked cannot easily be characterized in terms of straightforward linear causality between the aim of the activity and an associated performance. Rather, the negotiations of contradicting requirements emerging from the situation can lead to the user engaging different actions with the technology depending on the specific situation, the mood of the user, and a range of other aspects. As an example, the decision by an individual of whether or not to answer a call on their mobile phone is not necessarily straightforward and will depend on a range of factors, for example, who the caller is (if this can be ascertained a priori), what the recipient is currently doing, or what he or she guesses the caller wishes to discuss. A straightforward mapping can only be made between a set of technology performances by the user against a discrete set of situations if any possible individual discretion has been removed from the work conducted. Emerging aspects of work will in most cases make it necessary for the user to engage in emerging technology performances taking the specific situation into consideration (Sørensen, 2011).

49.3 Cultivating Fluidity and Boundaries at Work

The organizational impact of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies is difficult to document precisely given that the technology is applied in a great variety of roles, spanning work with very little individual discretion to work relying on extensive individual discretion. Within field force automation, it may be complicated, yet doable, to assess the benefits of increased use of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies as the work process can be codified and measured against a computer-calculated ideal process. The technology performances will in this case be treated similarly to the steps in an automated manufacturing process where the components are expected to behave in a certain manner at a certain point in time.

At the other end of the discretion spectrum, top executives or highly independent professionals are expected to largely govern their own activities and make choices they deem appropriate according to the specific situation. For this type of work, it can be almost impossible to devise simple measures for process quality, and simply measuring the outcome does relatively little to factor out the importance of particular technology performances. However, most work will be characterized by being governed by some organizational rules, while retaining some degree of discretion. Furthermore, it is often far from trivial from a distance to ascertain to what extent work can be conducted without discretionary decisions as unanticipated exceptions can call for emerging technology performances.

49.3.1 Anytime/Anywhere or Sometime/Somewhere?

The ability to engage in mobile technology performances anytime, anywhere, and with anyone is an often-cited practical implication of the application of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies. While this can technically be correct, such focus emphasizes the technological opportunities in Figure 49.2 over the organizational opportunities and constraints. While a mobile phone allows for interaction with virtually anyone on Earth, this does not reflect any organizational reality. Work is always situated in some context where certain issues, people, contexts, and time slots have more importance over others. Possessing a colleague’s mobile phone number does not necessarily mean that the person can be called...
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at any time. When combining the technological opportunities with the organizational realities, the technology performances are conducted as complex arrangements where interaction occurs sometimes, somewhere, and with someone (Wiberg and Ljungberg, 2001). The everyday mobile technology performances are ones hovering between being anytime and the sometime, and for the participants, this is in itself often a subject of negotiation.

49.3.2 Distance and Movement Matter

Olson and Olson (2000) argue that despite serious attempts to completely alleviate the importance of distance in collaboration, distance still matters. Collaboration across distances imposes a separate set of issues that must be overcome, even if the technology at the same time does facilitate highly distributed work (Olson and Olson, 2000; Dubé and Robey, 2009). In the use of networked personal computers, distance both matters as a barrier for interpersonal collaboration and, at the same time, matters as it also directly facilitates remote collaboration.

It can similarly be argued that the use of both mobile and ubiquitous technologies suddenly makes the physical location of the user more important than before—movement matters when mobile and ubiquitous technologies are deployed in an organization (Sørensen et al., 2012). The technology performances will frequently relate to human movement and therefore create a whole new set of issues related directly to the negotiation of interaction in movement. We can hardly assume that this does not create new challenges for the participants—especially if the movement cannot be made the subject of collective inquiry. The opening questions of “Where are you?” and “Did I disturb?” directly relate to matters of distance and movement.

However, for the organization, movement also matters when mobile technology helps loosen constraints for its members’ locations and movements. When organizational members by circumstances are forced to work at their workstation, their movement is mostly a matter of ensuring sufficient number of working hours and to arrange around this constraint. When they are able to work from a range of locations while moving, their location and movement are no longer merely issues of personal preference. They become important operational, tactical, and even strategic concerns. Sales organizations with traveling salespeople have of course known this for a long time. However, mobile technology performances make it possible to engage in more fine-grained decisions regarding the distribution of work across time and location.

In the traditional workplace, roles could relatively easily be identifying those that required other arrangements that stationary working with no movement and being colocated with everyone else in a fixed office or by a machine (see Figure 49.3). In the mobile enterprise, roles are open for more flexible assignments. Some work may at times need to be organized as locally mobile within a specific location. At other times, work may require as arranged as remotely distributed from other colleagues, yet fixed at a desk. Yet at other times, work may need to be organized as mobile working, i.e., both remotely located and mobile.

49.3.3 Balancing Fluidity and Boundaries

As discussed earlier, mobile technology performances represent choices regarding the unfolding of activities and interactions across time and locations. With the networked personal computer, distance took on new meanings, and with mobile information technology, so does movement. The performances are localized individual or collective judgments regarding the combination of technological possibilities when faced with a possibly contradicting organizational reality. The performances can in these situations result in the removing of existing boundaries for interaction—establishing more fluid interaction. The use of mobile e-mail is a good example to the extent that members of the organization choose to use e-mail as a horizontal means of communication transcending previous lines of reporting and responsibility. However, mobile technology performances can also establish new or reinforce
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49.3.3.1 Individual Creativity

The performance can support individuals in engaging in fluid working practices with few boundaries hindering interaction if this is deemed suitable and with the individual cultivation of barriers for interaction when needed, for example, through filters blocking out requests. The extensive use of mobile information technology over years renders the individual a highly experienced professional in engaging in such cultivation, within the technological constraints. For example, usage throughout a 40 h working week will within 5 years have accumulated the 10,000 h of practice cited as necessary for true expertise within a domain (Simon and Chase, 1973; Ericsson et al., 1993; Gladwell, 2008; Sørensen, 2011). We have found a number of very good examples of such expertise in creative cultivation of mobile performances in our studies (Sørensen, 2011, pp. 77–100).

The Japanese CEO, Hiro, for example, engages in highly complex performances when moving freely around Tokyo to get inspiration for new mobile services his small company possibly could build and launch (Kakihara and Sørensen, 2004). Hiro conducts “innovation by walking around” and extensively relies on advanced filtering features implemented on his mobile phone. This results in a number of profiles emitting different noises depending on the caller or the mobile e-mail sender. He prefers most interaction to be channeled to mobile e-mail so he can quickly scan the requests and prioritize which ones he acts upon when. This transforms synchronous and asynchronous interaction into the asynchronous

![Figure 49.3](image-url)
e-mail, which allows Hiro to constantly filter and monitor incoming interaction. In this way, immediate requests can be prioritized before acted upon, and Hiro also argues that he in reality in this way uses the asynchronous mobile e-mail as an almost synchronous technology as he constantly checks e-mails. The arrangement, however, provides him with a slight buffer allowing instant prioritization—a task more difficult with truly synchronous interaction. He also relies significantly on a stationary administrator as a means of managing his availability and interaction requests.

The London black cab driver Ray also engages extensively in the cultivation of fluid interaction (Elaluf-Calderwood and Sørensen, 2008). His extensive training and experience in navigating the streets of Central London without other navigational support than his memory allows him to define his own rhythm of working. He can independently choose where he places the cab in order to maximize his income, and as such he is a stationary, yet mobile, example of the direct importance of discretionary choices concerning location and movement. He receives some jobs from colleagues via mobile phone when a sudden demand appears and others centrally dispatched from a computer cab system. However, most jobs appear when customers in the street hail his cab or when he is at the front of a taxi rank.

For the Middle Eastern foreign exchange trader, Khalid, the day job consists of office-based trading, but outside normal working hours, he engages in mobile trading using a mobile phone and a specialized mobile device providing market information (Sørensen and Al-Taitoon, 2008). Here, the balancing of home and working life is a major aspect of the balancing of fluidity and boundaries.

Common for these three examples is the extensive reliance on movement as a means of doing the work. For the CEO and the cab driver, the movement is in effect an integral part of the work, whereas for the trader, movement is an essential aspect of striking some balance between work and family requirements. When engaged in mobile working, all three are largely either independent or largely able to shape their own work. They can over time cultivate a sense of rhythms of working and of interaction supporting the cultivation of fluid working and the management of interruptions (Sørensen and Pica, 2005; Sørensen, 2011, pp. 94–100).

### 49.3.3.2 Team Collaboration

For teams engaged in collaboration and in the coordination of mutual interdependencies, the ability to obtain fluid and transparent negotiation of these interdependencies is a key concern. It is obviously both pregnant with opportunities and fraught with problems to obtain such fluidity when team members are both scattered and moving rather than when everyone is situated within the same office space.

For the police officers John and Mary, their interaction is largely conducted within their patrol vehicle, where they have continuous contact with the control room through an in-car terminal and radio system (Sørensen and Pica, 2005). When out of the vehicle and engaged in an incident, they rely heavily on the flexible means of a shoulder-mounted radio as a constant connection to the control room and to each other. The in-car system represents complex interaction boundaries between teams as it streamlines the coordination of officers accepting to attend incidents. The team of two officers constantly cultivates the rhythms of working both establishing fluid activities and cultivating interactional boundaries. In doing so, they rely extensively on a wide range of the technological capabilities discussed previously.

For Simon, a security guard doing rounds on industrial estates in Manchester, the coordination of work with the control room consists of little individual discretion (Kietzmann, 2008). His activities are almost automatically directed by a system whereby he swipes a RFID-reader mobile phone over an embedded RFID chip and the system informs him via SMS where to go next. Collaboration is here entirely codified through a specific set of stipulated performances.

One of the important aspects of managing the negotiation of mutual interdependencies is formed by decisions regarding interaction priority. If all interaction is prioritized equally, then the associated interactional symmetry will rely on the individual member to manually prioritize interaction. In both the cases mentioned earlier, systems embed capabilities supporting prioritization of interaction to facilitate more effective collaboration. Mobile technology performances also challenge traditional notions of individual versus collective working as the extensive use of mobile interaction can...
support the individualization of highly interdependent activities (Barley and Kunda, 2004; Felstead et al., 2005; Sørensen, 2011).

49.3.3.3 Organizational Control

From the perspective chosen here, an organization can be viewed as a specific arrangement of boundaries for interaction and facilitators for fluid interaction. Organizations will, from this perspective, spend considerable effort cultivating internal boundaries so they facilitate desirable fluid interaction and limit undesirable interaction. Similarly, much effort will be invested in cultivating the organizational boundary enabling some and hindering other forms of interaction.

For the restaurant supply delivery driver Jason, work is regularly challenging organizational arrangements (Boateng, 2010). When delivering goods to a restaurant he has, against procedure, provided the owners with his private mobile phone number instead of forcing customers to contact the call center who thereafter would contact Jason. He will also, for practical purposes, at times neglect procedures of not waiting in case a customer is not present at the restaurant. Such arrangements are obviously both efficient and desirable from Jason’s perspective, yet ineffective from an organizational perspective. If and when Jason leaves his job, customers will be frustrated as they no longer can use the established fluid arrangement of just calling Jason’s mobile. Also circumventing the call center results in order discrepancies not always being properly logged within the system. However, in the tension between centrally fixed boundaries and Jason’s circumvention of these boundaries, Jason manages to carve out a little extra discretion for himself.

The hospital nurse Yin, who is further educating herself to a new role along with 15 other colleagues within the British Health Service, is caught up in a clash of arrangements of organizational fluidity and boundaries between the local hospital where she spends most of her time and the central London-based organization responsible for her further education, which she attends 1 week in 6 (Wiredu and Sørensen, 2006). Conflicting local and remote requirements cannot be reconsolidated through the use of mobile technology performances. The remote organization desires intense documentation of the remote work-integrated learning process. The local hospital does not see the importance of this documentation and establishes strict boundaries for interaction, for example, by not allowing her to use mobile technology in normal working hours. The end result is that the technology performances are abandoned for documentation purposes, and the London-based learning team instead relies on Yin’s movement to resolve the issue. Every 6 weeks for a year, she attends 1 week sessions in London, and these sessions are deemed sufficient as means of documenting her learning. In this example, the organizational constraints and boundaries lead to human movement directly replacing mobile technology performances.

Table 49.1 summarizes the enterprise mobility capabilities discussed earlier and illustrates the diversity of capabilities across cases.

49.3.4 Enterprise Mobility and Organizational Complexity

Mobile technology performances can serve organizational purposes by providing both direct remote observation and indirect remote control. Such attempts can at the same time be subjected to a variety of counteractivities to serve individual or team purposes. For the organization, mobile technology performances represent both new opportunities for reengineering of traditional boundaries in order to obtain more effective decision flows and challenges as ineffective boundaries can lead to suboptimal interactional boundaries and much misdirected or wasted effort. For the Middle Eastern bank engaging in mobile foreign exchange trading after normal working hours, mobile technology performances represent the only viable solution to the problem that the bank wished to engage in 24 h trading without maintaining a trading floor around the clock. The solution of shifting trading across the globe following the sun was not feasible. Neither was home-based trading fixing traders by their personal computer all evening and night. However, although mobile trading clearly resulted in stress on the traders home life/work life balance, it at least represented a solution acceptable to both the bank and the traders.
Establishing much tighter and more interactive connections between individual workers and portable technology connected to communication networks allows for the contradicting purposes of both (1) tightening centralized control and codification of the work process and (2) providing increased decentralization and individual discretion through extensive access to the necessary corporate information. This development resembles the distinction between the use of information technology for either codifying or informating work discussed in the 1970s and 1980s when information technology was introduced in a range of sectors (Zuboff, 1988). However, this time it is personal as the technology is not an organizational anonymous entity but linked directly to individuals. The role of enterprise mobility and mobile technology performances can be viewed as one means of supporting organizational paradoxes.

Organizations experience the difficulties of balancing a variety of concerns, for example, balancing long-term exploration of opportunities with short-term exploitation of current abilities, being flexible and agile while securing stable structures for decision making, or balancing concerns for internal and external focus (Quinn and Rohrbaugh, 1983; Birkinshaw and Gibson, 2004; O’Reilly III and Tushman, 2004). The ability of an organization to be ambidextrous has been forwarded as a solution to managing organizational paradoxes where the organization needs to follow two seemingly contradicting logics. However, it has been argued that altering structural arrangements is not a long-term solution to this problem. Instead, the balancing of contradicting concerns must be delegated to individuals in the organization who then can make discretionary decisions depending on the actual situation and thereby enable contextual ambidexterity (Birkinshaw and Gibson, 2004). Enterprise mobility can serve as one of the means supporting organizations in achieving such contextual ambidexterity since it can ensure a much more consistent and comprehensive individual access to both the necessary information, access to the appropriate colleagues, while simultaneously support the detailed implementation of organizational procedures and control arrangements (Sørensen, 2011, pp. 49–52, pp. 158–167).

### 49.4 Enterprise Mobility Research Challenges

The research field studying enterprise mobility is still in its relative infancy despite the practical importance of the technology in many organizations. As an illustrative example, despite a prominent call for action to researchers in 2002 for the information systems field to investigate the organizational use of...
mobile and ubiquitous information technologies (Lyytinen and Yoo, 2002b), a decade later, only less than 4% of all papers in the top-eight journals even remotely explored the subject (Sørensen, 2011, p. 9).

49.4.1 Individual Intimacy and Organizational Trust

One of the primary challenges of understanding both opportunities and challenges in the organizational use of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies relates directly to the increased intimacy and pervasiveness offered by the technological capabilities. The technology affords intense investigation into individual micropatterns of behavior, thus making highly intimate aspects of everyday working life susceptible to intense scrutiny. It also affords the close and intimate connectivity between the work environment and technological properties, enabling the codification, mapping, monitoring, and calculation at an immense scale. Google’s effort to map street views led to significant debate over privacy when the passing Google car has caught people on the images, but the combination of intimacy and pervasiveness will require a significant shift in our understanding of the complex relationships between technological opportunities and prevailing opinions of individual privacy. The success of advanced enterprise mobility capabilities will rely even more critically on interpersonal and organizational trust than other technological innovations in the enterprise, precisely because enterprise mobility connects technology and person even tighter than previous information technologies. The workers could quite literally end up having everywhere to go and nowhere to hide.

49.4.2 Optimizing Knowledge Supply Chains

From a broader organizational perspective of understanding the bigger picture, one of the significant challenges is to understand how to more flexibly manage the opportunities of fluidity and boundaries. Considering the advantages gained by computerizing the manufacturing supply chain, enterprise mobility represents the challenge of understanding how computerization of the knowledge or service supply chain through mobile information technology can lead to advances. However, while the nuts and bolts of highly distributed manufacturing processes do not care much about what is written about them in various databases, humans very much do. One of the challenges in this is to understand the balancing of individual privacy concerns with the organizational advantages of large-scale real-time big-data analysis. As technology and its application advance, increasing amounts of highly detailed data are collected concerning organizational and interorganizational processes and their outcomes (Benkler, 2006; Kallinikos, 2006; Ayres, 2007; The Economist, 2010). Such data represent the opportunity for the organization to flexibly define its internal and external behavior depending on the emerging contingencies and thereby achieve contextual ambidexterity. For individuals, teams, and organizations, the challenge is to understand how to flexibly configure portfolios of technological capabilities to support the balancing of interactional fluidity and boundaries as this increasingly is up for negotiation and not predetermined by the physical arrangement of workstations, offices, and buildings.

49.4.3 Rapid Technological Developments

It is a key concern to explain how enterprise mobility is not only similar to but also differs from other organizational technologies. It is clearly feasible to look at traditional implementation and work effectiveness issues with this particular technological intervention as with other technologies. However, the key challenge is to identify issues of particular importance for mobile and ubiquitous information technologies. As an example, the closer relationship and instant feedback loops between the user and the technology can perhaps create new types of dependencies (Mazmanian et al., 2005). Unpacking how the constantly changing technological opportunities and ongoing changes in working practices interrelate is a core concern and must relate directly to a theoretical understanding of essential changes in technological opportunities. As an example, the recent development of mobile smartphone and tablet application stores has significantly
shifted the opportunities for organizations to more easily deploy complex mobile technology using worker self-service and relying on consumerized solutions. The unpacking of how rapidly changing technological circumstances and changing organizational practices interrelate is a complex endeavor but necessary for the further understanding of enterprise mobility. The aforementioned cases illustrate complex use of a variety of the technological capabilities presented in Figure 49.1: portability, connectivity, intimacy, pervasiveness, memory, and priority. The mere fact that the technology reaches widely from the user’s hand over the built environment to global infrastructures makes the dynamics even further complex.

49.4.4 Paradoxical Technology Relationships

Much research of socio-technical phenomena tends to adopt the assumption that the user–technology relationships are straightforward, stable, and predictable. However, this is a questionable assumption, especially when the technology in question assumes a highly intimate relationship with the user (Arnold, 2003). For enterprise mobility, the user–technology relationship is highly interactive and relies on close physical proximity and constant connectivity, and the performance relating a user’s goal and a specific technical capability cannot be described as linear and simple. Assuming that the user is bestowed some degree of individual discretion, the technology will often allow the same operation to be conducted applying different technology performances by selecting from a portfolio of opportunities. Furthermore, situational richness will likely imply that the user is not able to act as simply mapping discrete goals and technological opportunities. Rather, a range of concerns may concurrently compete as triggers of specific intent. When a person receives a mobile e-mail, the decisions regarding what to do will be informed by a range of situational factors. Also the outcome itself of the technology performances can influence future situations. The constant checking of mobile e-mail and instant replies also creates the need to further check and manage the environmental expectations of continuing to constantly reply to e-mails. This signals paradoxical technology relationships where the technology concurrently both fulfills and creates needs; simultaneously is public and private; supports mobility, yet enables a constantly fixed point of contact; and bestows the user with competence, yet also renders the user incompetent (Mick and Fournier, 1998; Jarvenpaa and Lang, 2005). While other technological innovations may also display such characteristics, mobile and ubiquitous information technologies will further challenge the notion of simple linear relationships between situations, technological capabilities, and technology performances. Research into mobile and ubiquitous technologies must seek to identify and explain the specific socio-technical complexities emerging when the technology is adapted and shaped by individuals, teams, and organizations. This chapter has sought to identify some of the unique aspects, for example, in terms of the importance of human movement. The chapter has also explored the organizational use of mobile and ubiquitous technologies beyond a simple logic of straightforward relationships between what the technology can offer and how it is used. This relates directly to the emerging notion of complex relationships between user behavior and available portfolios of organizational information services (Mathiassen and Sørensen, 2008). A promising research approach can be the detailed mapping of micro-coordination practices (Ling, 2004; Licoppe, 2010) using novel techniques seeking to uncover hidden patterns in complex nonlinear phenomena (Gaskin et al., 2010, www.orgdna.net).

49.5 Summary

Enterprise mobility—the organizational application of mobile and ubiquitous information technologies is a significant, yet underresearched, area of computing. This is despite a significant practical relevance of the subject. The challenges for practitioners and academics alike are to understand the specific opportunities and challenges imposed by this technological development and the associated organizational practices. This chapter has argued that the core challenges relate directly to the ability of the technology to intimately relate to and interact with the user, to manage, prioritize, and remember ongoing interaction processes with the immediate environment, while connecting through personal, local, and global communication networks. It is argued that these capabilities in nonlinear ways relate to user goals through
planned and emergent technology performances. Such novel technology performances, it is argued, can intentionally or unintentionally challenge existing interactional boundaries at the individual, team, and organizational level. For the individual, mobile technology performances can both facilitate new interactional practices and require the creative management of these opportunities to establish fluid working. For the team, the effective and transparent negotiation of highly distributed interdependencies also requires careful balancing of instant fluid access with boundaries for interaction. At the organizational level, fluid decision processes must be balanced with the cultivation of internal and external interactional boundaries. The introduction of mobile and ubiquitous technologies can mark a way of rendering (1) the individual more available while in charge of his or her own work; (2) teams more effective; and (3) organizations more flexible, yet better controlled. Such arrangements can be viewed as incremental changes to existing arrangements. However, the significant potential of enterprise mobility is of course to allow for new business models and disruptive organizational arrangements, for example, challenging assumptions about organizational membership and individual flexibility at work (Barley and Kunda, 2004).

Typically, an unintentional effect is increased interactional fluidity where individuals suddenly are given opportunities for more flexible and fluid access to information resources or colleagues. This can in turn result in both increased effectiveness if it allows for more meaningful connections, and also, as the examples demonstrate, tensions between individual and organizational concerns. It is, therefore, essential that individuals, teams, and organizations constantly cultivate the balance between technology performances increasing fluid organizational arrangements with those establishing interactional boundaries. Such efforts require significant improvements in our understanding of the complex and paradoxical relationships between the constantly developing diversity of technological capabilities and changing organizational practices.

Glossary

1G: First-generation analog cellular telecommunications networks
2G: Second-generation cellular telecommunications networks, which were digital
3G: Third-generation cellular telecommunications networks offering increased data transmission rates
4G: Fourth-generation cellular telecommunications networks with even faster data transmission rates
Cellular telecommunication network: Radio network that uses the geographical partitioning into cells as a means of reusing frequency bands
GPS: Global positioning system
M2M: Machine-to-machine
NFC: Near-field communication
RFID: Radio-frequency identification

Further Information

Mobility@lse: http://mobility.lse.ac.uk
Enterprise mobility forum: http://theemf.org
Mobile Enterprise Magazine: http://www.mobileenterprisemag.com
Enterprise mobility exchange network: http://www.enterprisemobilityexchange.com

References


